

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/755,239 01/05/2001 Dennis Pardikes 2778-33 3753 08/12/2004 **EXAMINER** LAFF, WHITESEL & SARET, LTD METZMAIER, DANIEL S 401 North Michigan Avenue Chicago, IL 60611 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1712

DATE MAILED: 08/12/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application	n No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/755,239)	PARDIKES, DENN	IS
Office Action Summary	Examiner	· .	Art Unit	<u> </u>
	Daniel S. M		1712	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the	cover sheet with t	he correspondence ado	lress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication if the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory provided to the provided period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no even n. a reply within the statut eriod will apply and will statute, cause the applic	t, however, may a reply ory minimum of thirty (30 expire SIX (6) MONTHS ation to become ABAND	be timely filed)) days will be considered timely, from the mailing date of this cor ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	1/5/2001 & 6/28/	<u> 2001</u> .		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐	This action is no	n-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for all			· ·	merits is
closed in accordance with the practice und	der <i>Ex part</i> e Qua	yle, 1935 C.D. 11	1, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims				
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-45</u> is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-45</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction all	ndrawn from cons			
Application Papers				
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Exar		_		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>28 June 2001</u> is/are				
Applicant may not request that any objection to	-,,	•	` '	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for form a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been nents have been priority documen ireau (PCT Rule	received. received in Appli ts have been rec 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National S	itage
Áttachmant(a)				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)) Interview Sumn	nairy (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date) 3/08) 5	Paper No(s)/Ma	nal y (P10-413) nal Patent Application (PTO-	152)
S. Patent and Trademark Office	ce Action Summary		Part of Paper No./Mail Date	e 07132004

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-45 are pending.

Drawings

- 1. New corrected drawings are required in this application because the drawing received on June 28, 2001, are informal. Applicant is advised to employ the services of a competent patent draftsperson outside the Office, as the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office no longer prepares new drawings. The corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The requirement for corrected drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 2. The drawings are objected to because figures 18 and 19 recite item 254 with an arrow marking a flow meter but said flow meter has been replaced by analog flow meters, 410 and 412. Corrected drawing sheets are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the

Application/Control Number: 09/755,239

Art Unit: 1712

drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: the crossnoting section of the specification needs to be updated with the patent number of the parent.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 1-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 1 is indefinite since "said recycled emulsion" lacks proper antecedent basis and it is unclear what in intended by "said recycled emulsion". Applicants never set forth an "emulsion" in the claim other than the preamble. To the extent the ASA is in the form of an emulsion or added to an existing emulsion, the claims should so state.

In a related issue, claim 17 refers to a mixture of ASA and an emulsion.

Applicants should confirm the use of the term "emulsion" rather than "emulsifier".

Please note that "said emulsifier" lacks antecedent basis in claim 20. Please compare the claim 1 method.

Application/Control Number: 09/755,239

Art Unit: 1712

Claim 3 employs the term "said mixing mean" should be changed to "said mixing means".

The above are examples of indefinite limitations set forth in the claims.

Applicants should review each of the claim limitations for proper antecedent basis and the setting forth the formation of the emulsion. To the extent an existing emulsion is incorporated into the process, the claims should make said incorporation clear as well as basis provided as set forth in the originally filed disclosure.

Double Patenting

6. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

7. Claims 1-45 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-28 of U.S. Patent No. 6,207,719. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims substantially overlap. To the extent the claims differ in the automating a manual step, said automating is considered *prima facie* obvious. See MPEP 2144.04(III).

Art Unit: 1712

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The prior art cited but not provided to applicants was cited in the parent application.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel S. Metzmaier whose telephone number is (703) 308-0451. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Randy P. Gulakowski can be reached on (571) 272-1302. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Daniel S. Metzmaie Primary Examiner Art Unit 1712

DSM